ML . FAKE - PROVEN

Introduction

This document compiles critical observations and contradictions surrounding the Apollo Moon landings, suggesting that the missions may not have occurred as officially described. Drawing from logic, technical inconsistencies, and historical behavior, this report outlines why the Moon landings are considered fake - proven by the lack of repeatability, contradictory evidence, and more.

1. Technological Contradictions

- Apollo Guidance Computer (AGC) had 2KB RAM and 32KB ROM.
- Modern basic electronics are exponentially more powerful, yet no modern landings have matched Apollo.
- Current missions require complex shielding and AI, but Apollo succeeded repeatedly with calculator-level tech.

2. Lack of Repeatability

- 6 crewed missions occurred within 3 years (1969-1972), then none for over 50 years.
- No country, not even the USA, has repeated a manned landing since.
- All Apollo infrastructure was discarded, and no new equivalent has ever succeeded.

3. Soviet Space Superiority Before Apollo

- USSR had first satellite (Sputnik), first man (Gagarin), first woman, and first spacewalk.
- They were far ahead in space dominance - yet USA suddenly jumped ahead and ended the race

permanently.

- Development is normally incremental, not a single massive leap.

4. Environmental & Physical Oddities

- Flag waves in vacuum in multiple Apollo videos.

- Dust behaves like Earth gravity, not low-G lunar environment.

- Astronauts fall and bounce too elastically, unlike true low-gravity vacuum behavior.

5. Political & Cold War Context

- Apollo solved the Cold War prestige crisis for the US.

- After Apollo 11, budget and public interest plummeted.

- Missions may have been staged to secure political dominance over the USSR.

6. The Far Side of the Moon - Still Untouched

- Requires a relay satellite, which is now technologically trivial.

- China succeeded robotically - no manned mission has ever gone there.

- If Apollo succeeded 50 years ago, today's failure to return is highly suspicious.

Conclusion

The Apollo missions, as officially described, do not align with the expected progression of technology,

physics, and international competition.

With no repeat of crewed Moon landings in half a century, increasing technical contradictions, and overwhelming inconsistencies in footage and mission behavior, the Moon landings are strongly suspected to be falsified.

Thus: ML . FAKE - PROVEN.